## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)
Plaintiff,	8:06CR415
vs.	) ORDER
CONRAD JOHANN SANTON,	)
Defendant.	)

This matter is before the court on the motion to continue by defendant Conrad Johann Santon (Santon) (Filing No. 44). Santon seeks a continuance of the trial of this matter which is scheduled for August 13, 2007. Santon's counsel represents that counsel for the government has no objection to the motion. Santon has filed an affidavit wherein he consents to the motion and acknowledges he understands the additional time may be excludable time for the purposes of the Speedy Trial Act (Filing No. 45). Upon consideration, the motion will be granted.

## IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. Santon's motion to continue trial (Filing No. 44) is granted.
- 2. Trial of this matter as to both defendants is re-scheduled for **September 10**, **2007**, before Chief Judge Joseph F. Bataillon and a jury. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional **time** arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., the time between **July 23**, **2007** and **September 10**, **2007**, shall be deemed **excludable** time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason that defendants' counsel require additional time to adequately prepare the case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B).

DATED this 23rd day of July, 2007.

BY THE COURT:

s/Thomas D. Thalken United States Magistrate Judge